

REMARKS

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections per Tran, Whiteside, and Sizer

The Examiner rejects claims 20-28, 30-39, and 41-46 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over *Tran, Whiteside, and Sizer*. Claim 21 has been canceled and moved into independent claim 20. The cited art does not teach this limitation, among others. Therefore, claim 20 and its dependent claims are allowable.

The Examiner rejects claim 46 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over *Tran, Whiteside, Sizer, and Abram*. Dependent claim 46 depends from patentable amended independent claim 20, and should be patentable for at least this reason in addition to the distinguishing limitations claim 46 recites.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, the Applicant believes the rejections have been overcome, and that the present Application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the case, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative.

The requisite fee for an extension of time up to the present date is included herewith. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-0600 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,
Scott D. Redmond

September 9, 2011

By /Breton G. Graham/
Breton G. Graham (48,149)
CARR & FERRELL LLP
120 Constitution Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
T: 650.812.3400
F: 650.812.3444